

2 May 2012 [9-12]

# Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1071

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Canola Line MON88302

Date received: 26 March 2012 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 18 April 2012 Date completed: 3 April 2012				
Applicant: Monsanto Australia Limited   Brief description of Application:   To seek permission for food derived from herbicide-tolerant canola, genetically modified to provide tolerance to glyphosate.		Potentially affected Standards: 1.5.2 – Food produced using Gene Technology 1.4.2 – Maximum residue Limits		
			Procedure: General	Estimated total hours: Maximum 650 (Level 2) Reasons why: This kind of application involves a more complex assessment of the potential risk to public health and safety.

### Decision

Application accepted	
Date: 3 April 2012	

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?

Yes No 🗸

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related health claim?

Yes No 🗸

#### Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Since the technology behind the Application has been developed solely by the Applicant, the Applicant will gain an exclusive benefit if the Application is approved.

Due date for fees: 4 May 2012

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No 🗸 Not known

#### Application Handbook requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application? 3.1.1, 3.5.1

Is the checklist completed?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No 🗸

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes 🖌 🛛 No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

Nil

## Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period:

6 weeks

Proposed timeframe for assessment:			
'Early Bird Notification' due: 7 May 2012			
Commence assessment (clock start)	30 April 2012		
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Mid-August 2012		
Public comment	Mid-August–late Sept 2012		
Board to complete approval	Early December 2012		
Notification to Forum	Mid-December 2012		
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Late February 2013		